Weekly Chesapeake Bay Managers Call Agenda Tuesday, September 20, 2016 at 3:30 pm-4:30 pm

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

2016 0920 Weekly Tier 1 Agenda.docx

I. Tier I Agenda Items

- a. Announcements
- b. Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Efficiency Measure L. Free (15 mins)

Attachments: CBP Efficiency measure justification.docx

c. Comments and proposed revisions on the Phase III WIP Expectations – L. Power (30 mins)

Attachments: Prelim PhIII WIP Expectations DRAFT v9.19.16.docx

II. Bay Manager Call Short-term Planning Calendar

Tentative September 2016 Schedule

a. September 27

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

- b. Other potential September topics:
 - i. Monitoring vs. modeling data How do we explain the differences? –
 CBPO/USGS (Rich/Gary/Jeni) (Rich needs to discuss timing with Gary –
 Gary is not available September 20th)
 - 1. Special emphasis on Lower Susquehanna
 - ii. Pennsylvania Options for gap closing to meet 2025 goals: Part 2 In Depth Discussion S. Trevena/WIP Team
 - iii. Draft Federal Strategy in Support of Pennsylvania's Chesapeake Bay Restoration Effort –K. Flahive, K. Shenk (ongoing)
 - iv. Conowingo Issues
 - v. BMP Panels with policy implications oysters for example
- c. Fall 2016

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

ii. Air Deposition Scenarios for Midpoint Assessment

[PAGE * MERGEFORMAT]

- iii. Assumptions for the urban sector and modeling MS4 and other permit requirements in the model. J. Sweeney/R. Batiuk {Fall this item before MPA Profile}
- iv. MPA Profile Programmatic Capacity Analysis using scenarios built for PA to reduce nutrients/sediment methodology could be applied to other jurisdictions J. Sweeney and team {Tentative: October}
- v. High resolution land cover and land use utility of data for future targeting and local stakeholder buy in Peter Claggett/Rich Batiuk (moved to late fall)
- vi. Influence of Oyster Aquaculture and Sanctuaries on Water Quality (December)— L. Linker
- vii. Periodic briefings on monitoring tools and communicating monitoring in milestone evaluations- WIP Team
- viii. Implications of explaining monitoring trends what are areas that are not responding and why? For example, phosphorus saturated soils on eastern shore. How do time lags factor in?
 - ix. Periodic Mid-point Assessment Briefings for RA L. Power/D. McNally/R. Batiuk
 - x. 2025 land use projections and offsets: how would we incorporate into Phase III WIP expectations? {Likely September. Jeff lead.}
 - xi. Communicating Incremental Progress towards meeting WQS Highlights from USGS work for posting to CBP indicators website USGS/R. Batiuk (no definitive date at this time)

III. Decisions/Actions from 9/13/16 Bay Managers Call (will be provided on Monday)

a. Modeling updates incorporating the lower Sus. R./Conowingo Dam reservoir to better understand the reservoir system – L. Linker, R. Batiuk

There was a brief discussion looking at the slide which shows relative influence on Bay DO related to impacts to Chesapeake Bay water quality and a future discussion to better target funding based on the highest influencing areas/counties/states etc.

There should also be consideration for protection as well as improvements when having future discussion.

There will be future updates once the Conowingo pool model is finished to understand the accumulation of organic material to better understand scour events.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

[PAGE * MERGEFORMAT]